A Post-2010 Asia-Pacific Trade Agenda: Report from a PECC Project

Robert Scollay
APEC Study Centre
University of Auckland

PECC Trade Project

Considered future trade policy challenges for the Asia Pacific region at two levels:

- Multilateral
 - Completion of Doha Round
 - Future of WTO
 - Climate change and trade policy
 - Services
 - Labour Mobility
 - Food Trade
- Regional: evolution of "trade architecture"
 - East Asia
 - Trans-Pacific

Multilateral: Completion of Doha Round

- No "silver bullet"
- Well-known negotiating issues on agriculture, NAMA
 - Consensus view: await political opportunity for completion
- Underlying problems → systemic questions
 - changing balance of economic influence
 - no clear leadership framework emerging to replace the traditional framework
 - G20 has disappointed
 - mismatch of priorities between developed/developing countries
 - → "offers on table" provide limited incentive for completion?

Multilateral: Future of WTO post-Doha

- does WTO have a future as agent of global trade liberalisation?
 - will there be another round?
- is rule maintenance (supported by effective dispute settlement and monitoring) a sufficient rationale?
 - will member support be maintained in absence of progress on liberalisation?
- can alternative modalities better facilitate liberalisation?
 - abandon "single undertaking"?
 - "critical mass" decision-making?
 - sectoral approaches?

Multilateral: Collision between policies on climate change and trade: Can a "train wreck" be avoided?

Threats:

- unilateral actions of doubtful WTO legality
 - potentially actionable subsidies
 - government procurement preferences
 - border tax adjustments ("carbon tariffs")
 - technical as well as WTO-legality issues
 - technical standards (both government and private)
- WTO's inadequate framework of rules and jurisprudence
- absence of global agreement on climate change policy

Multilateral: Collision between policies on climate change and trade: Can a "train wreck" be avoided?

Possible responses

- resolution by WTO dispute settlement ('default outcome')
 - confrontational, protracted
 - political legitimacy questions
 - may not be able to avert intense trade conflict
- voluntary codes or 'peace clause'
- systemic threat will not be averted by possible agreements on environmental goods and services of eco-labelling

Multilateral: Services Trade

- widely agreed as critical to re-balancing and future growth
- zero progress in Doha
 - offers from less than a third of WTO members
 - 'not one iota of liberalisation' in the offers
- WTO GATS framework not commercially meaningful ("gobbledygook")
- need to find a new approach e.g.
 - break link with other WTO negotiations → stand alone negotiations
 - give up "request and offer" approach
 - replace positive list with negative list
 - try new paradigms
 - focus on building liberalisation into domestic regulatory reform and development plans
 - plurilateral negotiations (rely on competitive liberalisation)
 - look at what works in regional negotiations

Labour Mobility

- growing importance in international economic exchange
 - addressing labour market mismatches
 - remittances
- addressed by WTO in only a very limited way
 - GATS Mode 4
- approached more creatively in some FTAs
 - will increasingly be a standard negotiating issue
 - variability in approaches of participants
 - facilitative v. restrictive
- other forms of arrangement also important

Food Trade Issues

Background

- concerns over food security for rising world population (e.g. APEC agenda)
- recent experience of food price spikes and risk of repetition
- predictions of increasing price volatility due e.g. to
 - unpredictable climatic disturbances
 - fossil fuel price spikes (biofuels connection)

Trade Policy Dimension

- despite progress, strong anti-trade bias remains in agricultural policies
 - exporters penalised, import-competing production protected
- trade interventions (tariffs, export restrictions) increase price volatility

Alternative Ways Forward (World Bank, IFPRI)

- agriculture can deliver 70% of gains from global liberalisation (from 3% of GDP, 6% of global trade)
- cost of increased protectionism could be many times the potential gains from liberalisation

Regional Economic Integration: East Asia

- Existing "ASEAN-Plus" FTAs with China, Japan, Korea, Australia/NZ, India
- Two parallel tracks for region-wide integration
 - **EAFTA** (**ASEAN** +3)
 - **CEPEA** (**ASEAN** +6)
- Some elements of work programme well-established
 - parallel working groups with intention to merge
 - analysis of potential for convergence of existing "ASEAN-Plus" FTAs
- Economic and strategic implications well understood
- Different preferences on sequencing among participants
- Differences in emphasis e.g.
 - heavy focus in CEPEA on cooperation, facilitation, connectivity
 - ASEAN+3 also addresses monetary cooperation e.g. Chiang Mai Initiative, ABMI
- Missing ingredient: integration among China, Japan, Korea

Regional Economic Integration: East Asia

CJK Integration

- indispensable for EAFTA, CEPEA (even FTAAP)
- CJK account for
 - about 90% of East Asian GDP
 - largest East Asian trade flows
- Various initiatives/possibilities
 - Japan-Korea FTA negotiations currently suspended
 - Study of CJK FTA
 - Proposal for China-Korea FTA
 - Negotiate CJK integration within context of EAFTA or CEPEA
- Considerable de facto integration
- Political economy obstacles to formal trade liberalisation
 - Question on strength of incentive to overcome obstacles
- Historical sensitivities a further complication

Regional Economic Integration: East Asia

- Implications of CJK integration for East Asian integration as an ASEAN-led process
 - ASEAN unable to facilitate CJK integration
 - CJK integration shift the economic centre of gravity in East Asia
- Two views from ASEAN participants
 - ASEAN centrality must be maintained at all costs
 - ASEAN capacity for leadership in East Asian integration is questionable
- Both agree completion of ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) is essential to ASEAN's credibility as leader of future East Asian integration

Regional Economic Integration: Trans-Pacific

- Vision of prosperous Asia-Pacific community integrated by free flows of trade and investment
- Shift in thinking from voluntary, non-binding approach to binding approach from later 1990s
- FTAAP
 - 2004: proposed by ABAC
 - 2006: supported by USA and incorporated into APEC's Regional Economic Integration agenda as "long-term prospect"
- TPP
 - Expansion of original P4 group to include USA, Australia, Peru, Viet Nam (as observer)
 - Malaysia joined recently
 - Open to participation by additional economies
 - Viewed as a possible pathway to FTAAP
- Intensified interest in integration with East Asia by USA, also by Latin America

Regional Economic Integration: Trans-Pacific

TPP

- Strategic importance as an expression of US intentions toward economic engagement with East Asia
 - response from East Asia vital
- Economic importance derives from what it might become rather than what it is
 - most bilateral relationships among TPP members already covered by other FTAs
 - expansion of membership would dramatically increase economic benefits to USA and all participants
 - participation of CJK crucial for realisation of economic potential
- Ambition variously described as "high quality" or "21st century" FTA
 - will be designed to facilitate business (including modern supply chains)
 - No a priori exclusions (everything on the table)
- Uncertainties over navigation through the US political system

Regional Economic Integration: Trans-Pacific

TPP: Some Key Issues

- Expansion of membership
 - timing and conditions
 - expansion v finishing agreement among initial participants
- Design
 - what does "high quality 21st century" FTA mean?
 - what role for US template?
 - tension between establishing quality benchmark and meeting ambitions of initial participants v. ensuring attractiveness to additional members
- Coverage
 - will include "standard" chapters of modern "WTO-Plus" FTA
 - aim to add additional "business-focused" elements
 - relevance to SMEs and modern supply chains
 - regulatory coherence
- Structure and relation to existing bilaterals
 - TPP replaces existing bilaterals?
 - existing bilateral continue to apply?
 - hybrid e.g. common rules with bilateral market access schedules
 - possible role of MFN provisions
 - (possible lessons/precedents from FTAA, US-DR-CAFTA)
- Relationship to APEC process

