



## **PECC Trade Forum**

# **Treatment of Sensitive Issues in RTAs: The Case of Agriculture**

**Robert Scollay**

**April 22-23, 2003  
Washington DC, USA**

# **Pacific Economic Cooperation Council**

4 Nassim Road Singapore 258372 Tel: +65 67379822 Fax: +65 67379824 Email: [info@pecc.org](mailto:info@pecc.org)  
[www.pecc.org](http://www.pecc.org)

# **Treatment of Sensitive Issues in RTAs: The Case of Agriculture**

**Robert Scollay**

**PECC Trade Forum Meeting**

**Washington DC, 22 April 2003**

# Importance of the Issue

- achievement of APEC-wide liberalisation via RTAs implies eventual convergence of the region's RTAs
- convergence will be facilitated/hindered by consistency/inconsistency in provisions of RTAs
- consistency likely to be especially difficult to achieve in the treatment of “sensitive” issues especially agriculture
  - need to explore the issues relating to the treatment of agriculture in RTAs

# **Features of existing situation**

- **agriculture routinely excluded to a significant extent from EU RTAs**
- **Japan's choice of RTA partners appears partially motivated by ability to exclude agriculture**
- **agriculture appears to have been included to a significant extent in Korea-Chile FTA**
- **agriculture generally has extended timetable in most Asia-Pacific RTAs**
- **agriculture problematic in FTAA and even in proposed US-Australia FTA**

# Issues

- **what guidance from WTO rules**
- **what guidance from APEC “Principles”**
- **existence of issues not amenable to treatment within RTA framework**
- **welfare implications**
- **implications for multilateral liberalisation**

# Guidance from WTO Rules

## GATT Article XXIV

- **interpretation of “substantially all trade”**
  - is it just a quantitative issue (percentage of trade covered, or percentage of tariff lines)
  - does it allow for exclusion of an entire major sector?

**WTO members have failed to agree**

- **Preamble to 1994 “Understanding” on Interpretation of Article XXIV emphasises importance of not excluding any major sector**
  - legal status?

# Guidance from APEC Principles

- Two key principles are “comprehensiveness” and “flexibility”
  - hard-fought compromise in Osaka Action Agenda
- “Comprehensiveness” indicates that agriculture must be included
- “Flexibility” indicates that allowance must be made for economies with major sensitivities in particular sectors (such as agriculture)
- extended timetables for agriculture in many Asia-Pacific RTAs a reasonable reflection of the combined effect of these principles
- What if timetable extends beyond 2010 for developed economy RTA members?

# Issues Not Amenable to RTA Treatment

- **Agricultural trade liberalisation involves three elements**
  - Increase in market access
  - Reduction/elimination of domestic support
  - Reduction/elimination of export subsidies
- **Only market access readily treated in RTA framework**
- **Export subsidies may be handled with difficulty?**
- **Domestic support probably impossible to handle within RTA framework**

- **Suggested solution (by some)**
    - negotiate market access within RTA
    - negotiate export subsidies and domestic support in the WTO
- **Satisfactory RTA outcome depends on satisfactory WTO outcome**

**Q: Implications for RTA if WTO negotiations fail to produce a satisfactory outcome?**

# Welfare Implications

- **Depends on whether RTA contains competitive agricultural producers**
- **In an RTA containing competitive agricultural producers:**
  - **agriculture a source of trade creation**
  - **excluding agriculture reduces the potential welfare gains of both agricultural importing and exporting economies**
- **In an RTA containing only uncompetitive agricultural producers**
  - **agriculture a source of trade diversion**
  - **excluding agriculture increases the potential welfare gains of importing economies, reduces the potential loss of exporting economies**

**(Conclusions supported by empirical studies)**

# **Implications for Multilateral Liberalisation**

- **inclusion of “sensitive” sectors may prepare the way for later multilateral liberalisation**
- **ability to exclude agriculture from RTAs may encourage economies with sensitive agricultural sectors to increasingly focus on RTAs at expense of commitment to multilateralism**
  - **RTAs without agriculture may become one of the “models” of Asia-Pacific RTAs**
    - **difficult to converge with other RTAs**